

Narrative medicine in ethics education: from theory to practice

Hasan Erbay

Department of History of Medicine and Ethics, Faculty of Medicine, Afyonkarahisar Health Sciences University, Afyonkarahisar, Türkiye.

Abstract

Historically, medicine has been grounded in storytelling; however, contemporary practice has shifted toward expertise and empirical data, often neglecting the patient's narrative. This shift has created a gap in understanding the complexity of human suffering. Narrative medicine bridges this gap by prioritizing empathy, ethical sensitivity, and patient-centred care. It integrates patients' life experiences and cultural backgrounds into clinical practice and aims to harmonize empirical methodologies with phenomenological insights. This review examines the theoretical foundations and practical applications of narrative medicine, particularly within medical ethics education. It highlights how narrative approaches improve moral reasoning, empathy, and cultural competence in healthcare professionals.

Pedagogical methods such as reflective writing, attentive reading, and group discussions enhance ethical awareness and improve practitioners' capacity to manage complex clinical situations. Narrative medicine promotes a comprehensive understanding of illness and care by bridging the gap between evidence-based medicine and narrative approaches; it can also be integrated into education to address challenges such as cultural diversity, health inequalities, and ethical dilemmas arising from technological developments. However, ethical issues like power dynamics, privacy, and representation in patient narratives require careful management. Despite the existing challenges, narrative medicine offers a transformative framework for rethinking medical education and practice, ensuring that healthcare remains empathetic, equitable, and ethical.

Keywords: *Medical ethics; Narrative medicine; Medical humanities; Ethics education.*

****Corresponding Author***

Hasan Erbay*

Address: Afyonkarahisar Health Sciences University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of History of Medicine and Ethics, Zafer Campus, B Blok. Afyonkarahisara, Türkiye.

Postal Code: 03200

Tel: (+90) 551 9720609

Email: hasanerbay@yahoo.com

Received: 12 Jan 2025

Accepted: 18 Jul 2025

Published: 15 Sep 2025

Citation to this article:

Erbay H. Narrative medicine in ethics education: from theory to practice. J Med Ethics Hist Med. 2025; 18: 9.

Introduction

The practice of medicine has historically been anchored in the art of storytelling, although the methods and the devotion of its practitioners to narrative traditions have undergone significant metamorphosis over the centuries (1). Modern medical practice has transformed into a complex network of specialized knowledge and technology, leading medical education to prioritize empirical data and quantifiable outcomes, which are often regarded as essential and adequate for clinical competence (2). This educational paradigm, rooted in historical precedent, emphasizes the importance of clinical acumen and outcome assessment; however, it must be recognized that the patient narrative is also essential for understanding the complex nature of human suffering.

Acknowledging the significance of narrative prompts a critical reassessment of the equilibrium between empirical and phenomenological methodologies in clinical practice. The purely quantitative approach of patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) leads to a narrow understanding of patient experiences. Narrative medicine, by contrast, advocates for combining quantitative metrics with patients' narrative accounts to better capture how health conditions impact their lives (3). Patients navigate the healthcare system with complex interwoven interests, existential concerns, aspirations, and

anxieties that fundamentally influence their therapeutic journey. Moreover, these elements should be acknowledged not as marginal notes but as essential components of their illness narrative (4). In this context, narrative medicine arises as a refined amalgamation of interdisciplinary research and therapeutic insight, constituting a fundamental aspect of the medical humanities (5).

Narrative medicine aims to establish a unique clinical practice and foster innovative research and educational frameworks based on three core principles: First, healthcare is a relational dynamic between individuals pursuing wellness; second, addressing the needs of the afflicted involves considering not only the physiological aspects of disease but also the intricate contextual factors affecting caregivers; and third, the therapeutic relationship is a narrative co-construction between the practitioner and the patient (6-8).

This theoretical framework delineates the fundamental objectives and methodologies of narrative medicine, underscoring its pivotal role in medical ethics education, particularly through its focus on the subjective aspects of healthcare, its dedication to creating empathetic understanding, and its acknowledgment of the fact that patient care and clinical interactions are intrinsically interconnected human narratives (9, 10). This conceptual architecture provides clinical ethics educators with advanced pedagogical strategies to

assist students in cultivating narrative competence, improving hermeneutic skills, and developing a better understanding of the axiological foundations present in healthcare interactions and medical service delivery (11).

This approach enhances understanding of the intersection between personal narratives and clinical practice, highlighting the complex relationship between biological pathology and lived experience, which improves diagnostic accuracy and therapeutic efficacy. The incorporation of narrative medicine into clinical practice signifies a fundamental rethinking of healthcare providers' engagement with, interpretation of, and response to the various narratives that arise during clinical encounters, rather than serving as an additional component of traditional medical education.

This review synthesizes current theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence on the role of narrative medicine in medical ethics education while also evaluating its impact on the enhancement of health professionals' ethical reasoning skills, moral imagination and patient-centred clinical practice. By analysing the intersection of narrative competence and ethical decision-making, it seeks to clarify how narrative methodologies enhance practitioners' capability for moral deliberation and ethical discernment in complex clinical scenarios.

The significance of this analysis lies in its potential to bridge the apparent dichotomy between evidence-based medicine and narrative approaches, demonstrating their complementary roles in promoting ethically informed clinical practice. Furthermore, this review explores how narrative medicine offers a methodological framework for addressing contemporary challenges in healthcare ethics, such as cultural incompetence, healthcare inequalities, and the increasing complexity of medical decision-making in an era of technological advancement. While narrative medicine offers promising approaches, it also faces serious obstacles that will be examined here.

The Theoretical Foundations of Narrative Medicine

The Narrative Paradigm: A Dialectical Critique

The emergence of narrative medicine represents not just an epistemological "turn," but a fundamental change in the ontological foundations of medical knowledge (12). Narrative understanding is a pre-theoretical way of knowing that precedes and conditions all scientific objectification, according to Ricoeur and Thompson's definition of "being in the world" and the phenomenology of embodiment (13). This radical reconceptualization challenges the Cartesian mind-body dualism inherent in traditional medical epistemology, suggesting instead a unified field of meaningful experience

within which both practitioner and patient are always already situated (14).

The historical dominance of positivist approaches in medicine reflects not just a methodological preference but also a fundamental misunderstanding of the nature of clinical knowledge. A comprehensive analysis of philosophical hermeneutics and its application to medical practice posits a strong argument that understanding itself is inherently narrative in structure (15). Furthermore, this insight underscores the limitations of attempting to reduce clinical knowledge to mere empirical observation or statistical correlation (16).

Narrative Competency as Fundamental Ontology

It is argued that conventional approaches to narrative competency remain trapped within a representationalist paradigm that treats narratives as mere vehicles for conveying pre-existing meanings (17). Instead, drawing on theories of narrative identity and the concept of “care,” this review proposes that narrative proficiency constitutes a fundamental mode of being with others that makes any significant clinical encounter possible (18). An individual comprehends their identity, the nature of existence and the universe, the purpose of life, the challenges inherent in it, the issues to address, the inquiries to raise, and the pursuits to undertake, through the narrative context in which they reside. This ontological reconstruction of narrative competency

demonstrates that it is not simply a skill to be learned, but an essential element of human cognition (19).

Narrative medicine critiques the empiricist assumptions of evidence-based medicine, demonstrating that narrative understanding functions not through conscious methodology, but through a more fundamental pre-reflective engagement with reality (2). This analysis demonstrates that the perceived conflict between narrative and scientific methodologies is based on a misleading dichotomy that overlooks their shared foundation in narrative temporality (20).

Narrative Medicine in Ethics Education

The pedagogical integration of narrative medicine into ethics education signifies a transformative approach to moral development in medical education. This section explores the various ways in which narrative approaches enhance ethical reasoning and moral awareness among health professionals

Developing Moral Imagination

Cultivation of moral imagination through narrative medicine provides an essential basis for ethical practice in healthcare settings. As Charon argues, the systematic engagement with patient narratives enhances practitioners’ ability for ethical judgment beyond the limitations of conventional principle-based methods (21). In particular, reading allows an individual to introspect, evaluate events, and partake in quiet contemplation. Similarly, narrative

reading enables individuals to engage in self-reflection, clarify their uncertainties, seek further understanding, and reinforce their ethical principles. Through careful attention to literary texts, healthcare providers develop “narrative ethics competence,” which allows them to recognize and address the ethical aspects of clinical encounters. Reflective writing is an effective method for enhancing ethical awareness and moral sensitivity (17). Moreover, this approach aligns with the notion held by many scholars that narrative engagement enhances moral perception and judgment by fostering empathetic understanding.

Enhancing Empathy and Perspective-Taking

Narrative medicine’s contribution to empathy development extends beyond simple emotional resonance described as “clinical empathy”, which is a nuanced kind of emotional reasoning that integrates affective comprehension with clinical judgment (22). This approach allows practitioners to understand patients’ experiences within their wider sociocultural contexts. The development of narrative competence facilitates what Garden terms “ethical witnessing,” where healthcare providers become attuned to the moral dimensions of patient suffering and the ethical implications of clinical decisions (9). The unique power of literature to enhance empathy and expand moral perspectives indicates that narrative medicine programs may be instrumental in promoting cultural awareness and

revealing implicit biases, especially since literature serves as an effective tool for examining racial justice within medical practice. Narrative medicine may be an educational approach to improve cultural competence by incorporating story-based learning elements into current implicit bias training programs at academic medical facilities.

Pedagogical Approaches and Implementation

One narrative method adopted by a medical school showed that these narrative medicine events significantly enhanced healthcare professionals’ sense of community, patient connections, and job satisfaction, with thematic analysis revealing that patient-centred care, resilience, and the value of learning comprised over half of the presented themes (23). Many medical education programs that incorporated narrative medicine strategy identified the development of cultural competence, communication, and sensitivity as their primary goals (24). Integration of narrative medicine into ethics education draws on various pedagogical strategies that build upon the concept of reflective practice (25). Close reading tasks, as formulated by Charon and Hermann, facilitate students’ recognition of ethical conflicts in clinical scenarios (26). Reflective writing workshops provide environments for moral deliberation and ethical analysis, while examinations of patient narratives develop what Hunter refers to as “narrative knowledge,” which is essential for ethical practice (14). Group discussions and shared interpretation

sessions create collaborative learning environments that stimulate what Walker refers to as “moral understanding through narrative practices” (27).

However, implementation of narrative approaches in ethics education requires careful attention to assessment methods that can capture the development of moral reasoning skills. It is recommended to evaluate narrative skills using several modalities, such as written reflection, case studies, and demonstrated empathetic engagement (28). In addition, many of these assessment methods need to balance the need for standardization with recognition of the inherently interpretive nature of narrative understanding.

Theoretical Frameworks in Narrative Medicine and Ethics

The intersection of narrative medicine and modern bioethical frameworks presents a complex theoretical landscape that transcends conventional principlist approaches to medical ethics (29). This section analyses the interconnections among narrative ethics, casuistry, ethical relativism, and emotivism, highlighting their combined potential in medical ethics education.

Narrative Ethics and Moral Epistemology

Narrative ethics offers a phenomenological basis for moral knowledge that challenges the traditional principlist framework in bioethics (12, 30). This hermeneutic approach argues that moral knowledge and ethical understanding are

inherently narrative in nature, representing an epistemological transition from deontological absolutism toward interpretive pluralism.

Specifically, the temporal aspect of narrative understanding functions within what Ricoeur terms the “ethical time” of clinical decision-making, where, as Ellis and Stam interpret drawing on Ricoeur’s approach, moral reasoning arises from the dialectical interaction between narrative construction and ethical consideration (31).

Charon describes “narrative intersubjectivity” as the intersection of healthcare practitioner and patient narratives, creating a shared ethical space through mutual understanding and moral collaboration (1). The primary motivation in medical narratives is comprehension. Medicine encompasses the physician’s endeavour to comprehend disability, life, and the world as seen through a medical lens, as well as the reader’s pursuit to grasp these notions; furthermore, it involves the exploration of medical surroundings, values, and dilemmas as they navigate the narrative. This approach effectively highlights individuals’ hope, fragility, suffering, struggle, and their need to comprehend this battle. When the reader formulates an interpretation and evaluates the narrative from their own perspective, they ascribe a discerned worth to that scenario or activity, even if they are unaware of it. This “attribution of value” is an inductive process

related to the “casuistry” addressed in medical ethics discussions (32).

The concept of narrative coherence, especially in relation to MacIntyre’s notion of the unity of a moral existence, is a crucial instrument in clinical ethics, as practitioners must integrate conflicting narrative elements into ethically justifiable clinical decisions (33). Furthermore, the ontological relationship between narrative identity and moral agency indicates that ethical reasoning is intrinsically connected to the narrative self-constitution of both patients and practitioners within the clinical encounter (34).

Casuistry and Case-Based Reasoning

The methodological congruence of casuistry with narrative approaches offers a pragmatic framework for implementing narrative ethics in clinical settings (35, 36). The neo-Aristotelian revival of casuistic reasoning in bioethics serves as a pragmatic adjunct to the interpretive methodology of narrative medicine. Through phronetic reasoning and analogical argumentation, casuistry bridges the gap between abstract moral principles and concrete clinical situations. Consequently, the integration of casuistic methodology with narrative approaches creates what might be termed “narrative casuistry,” wherein moral reasoning proceeds through the careful analysis of paradigmatic cases enriched by thick description and narrative context. This synthesis addresses what Beauchamp and Childress identify as the

“specification problem” in bioethics by providing a narrative framework for translating general moral principles into specific clinical guidance.

Ethical Relativism and Cultural Narratives

The interplay between narrative medicine and ethical relativism highlights the importance of cultural narratives in shaping moral reasoning within healthcare settings. While narrative ethics emphasizes the subjective and contextual nature of moral comprehension, ethical relativism accentuates the cultural specificity of these narratives, presenting both opportunities and challenges for medical ethics education (37, 38). This relationship is particularly relevant in addressing the tension between universal ethical principles and culturally specific values, a recurring theme in the integration of narrative medicine into clinical practice (39-41).

The concept of narrative cultural competency emerges as a methodological link between relativist and universalist ethical frameworks. By incorporating an understanding of patients’ “local moral worlds,” healthcare practitioners can adeptly negotiate intricacies of cultural diversity while upholding a commitment to shared ethical principles (42). This approach corresponds to the pedagogical strategies mentioned earlier, such as reflective writing and group discussion, which encourage students’ engagement with diverse perspectives and develop the moral imagination

necessary for ethical decision-making in multicultural settings (24, 26, 43).

Moreover, the integration of cultural narratives into medical ethics education complements the narrative casuistry method discussed in previous sections. By supplementing case-based reasoning with comprehensive cultural descriptions, educators can assist students to address dilemmas that arise from conflicting cultural values (35, 36). This synthesis not only strengthens ethical reasoning but also prepares healthcare professionals to engage with the sociocultural aspects of patient care, as highlighted in the discussion of empathy and perspective-taking (22, 38).

Nevertheless, the reliance on cultural narratives also raises critical ethical concerns, such as the risk of stereotyping or privileging dominant cultural perspectives (39, 44). These limitations reflect the

Discussion

This review highlights the transformative potential of narrative medicine in bridging the gap between empirical and phenomenological approaches in medical education. Narrative methods foster empathy, cultural competence, and ethical sensitivity, but at the same time present challenges related to cultural diversity, power dynamics, and the integration of evidence-based medicine (EBM) with patient narratives.

overarching concerns of power relations and representations discussed in the context of patient stories (9, 45). Addressing these concerns requires a careful balance between respecting cultural diversity and avoiding moral relativism, and calls for the development of pedagogical tools that foster critical inquiry and ethical discernment (43, 46, 47).

Since ethical relativism falls within the broader framework of narrative medicine, its potential to enrich medical ethics education while acknowledging the challenges of integrating diverse cultural perspectives into clinical practice is highlighted. This connection reinforces the transformative role of narrative approaches in bridging the gap between universal ethical principles and the actual realities of patients and practitioners.

Cultural Pluralism and Universal Narrative Ethics

While medical ethics (and bioethics in general) is a universal concept, narrative ethics is significantly shaped by local and cultural factors. This circumstance may be regarded as a hindrance to establishing a universal framework for narrative ethics, or as a risk element that complicates its attainment. Diverse cultures construct unique narratives on fundamental bioethical principles such as autonomy, dignity, and the physician-

patient relationship, as illustrated in Latin American, Islamic, African, and Christian bioethics, among others (43, 48). The disparities necessitate increased emphasis on the crucial influence of religious factors and cultural diversity in clinical decision-making. Cultural differences, and hence narratives, are not merely theoretical. Instead, they significantly influence medical practice and the formulation of ethical decisions. As a result, this diversity leads the formation of varied perspectives and methodologies regarding narrative medicine and its application in medical ethics education worldwide.

Integrating Narrative Medicine: Challenges and Solutions

The possible conflict between EBM and patient narratives is a recurring issue in clinical practice. While EBM emphasizes standardized protocols and empirical data, it can sometimes overlook the subjective experiences and values that shape each patient's journey. Integrative methods (e.g., interdisciplinary case discussions, shared decision-making, and the inclusion of narrative data in clinical guidelines) are crucial for closing this gap, according to recent research. For example, Palla et al. emphasize that narrative medicine is not an

alternative to EBM but rather a complementary methodology that enriches clinical reasoning by integrating patients' stories and perspectives into care processes (49). Similarly, Xiao et al. showed that medical professionals and students who receive narrative medicine training gain a deeper understanding of the complexities of patient experiences, which promotes more moral and comprehensive decision-making (50). These integrative strategies assist clinicians in finding a balance between empirical data and the unique values and experiences of each patient, ultimately leading to a more patient-centred and ethically sound practice.

The possibility that cultural biases or power disparities between the patient and the physician may distort patient narratives is another significant concern. Cultural competency is a basic requirement for efficient communication and fair treatment in a variety of healthcare settings, as noted by Rukadikar et al. (44). It is crucial to implement cultural competency training, support patient-centred communication, and encourage the use of multiple perspectives in narrative analysis to reduce these risks. Furthermore, as Franco et al. discuss, ethical guidelines should place a high

priority on informed consent, privacy, and the respectful representation of different patient voices in order to guarantee that patient stories are valued alongside scientific evidence (51). By addressing these issues, narrative medicine can be more successfully incorporated into clinical practice and medical education, promoting a sort of healthcare environment where both scientific rigor and human experience are respected.

Pedagogical Challenges in Translating Ethical Theory to Clinical Practice

In medical education, the integration of medical ethics into the curriculum is a significant challenge. The difficulties include students' challenges in applying ethical principles and critical thinking in real clinical settings, problems in translating theoretical ethical knowledge into practical decision-making, and challenges in making ethics education relevant and applicable to real clinical scenarios (52, 53). For instance, Wear and Zarconi state that while medical students are often exposed to formal ethics education, the hidden curriculum and clinical environment frequently undermine these efforts, creating a disconnect between theoretical knowledge and practical application (54). Despite the proposal and development of

numerous ethical education models that consider cultural diversity, these initiatives appear to struggle in garnering adequate recognition within the more thematic and traditionally socially detached framework of medical education (55, 56). Similarly, Coulehan and Williams argue that the competitive and efficiency-driven culture of medical training often erodes the virtues of compassion and respect, further complicating the translation of ethical theory into clinical practice (57).

Ethical Challenges in Narrative Medicine: Power, Privacy, and Representation

There are potential risks associated with patient stories that should also be considered (58). Ethical considerations arise from the power dynamics in the collection and interpretation of patient narratives, specifically regarding consent, privacy, and the risk of misrepresentation. As Frank argues, stories are not merely told but are shaped by the social contexts in which they are shared, and this dynamic can influence how patient narratives are constructed and understood, potentially reinforcing existing power imbalances (59). In addition, an emphasis on narrative competence might unintentionally privilege certain forms of

expression over others or lead to stigmatization, potentially disadvantaging patients who communicate in non-traditional ways or come from cultures with different narrative traditions. Frank's concept of "letting stories breathe" emphasizes the importance of respecting the autonomy of narratives and allowing them to unfold without imposing rigid interpretive frameworks, which is particularly relevant in addressing these challenges. This issue can be resolved through production of qualified fictional texts that are factual but do not depart from reality in the narratives used in education. Nonetheless, these scenarios also require skilled academic staff, preferably trained in creative writing and familiar with the clinical environment of medicine.

The Future of Medical Humanities: Transcending Traditional Boundaries

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into healthcare presents profound ethical dilemmas about the equilibrium between technical efficiency and preservation of humanistic values. While AI can improve diagnostic precision and optimize clinical processes, it risks depersonalizing patient care (60). The ethical challenges of AI, such as responsibility attribution and explainability,

require a relational framework that emphasizes human values over purely technical considerations (61). Narrative medicine offers a counterbalance to this trend by prioritizing empathy and human connection, and ensuring that technological developments do not overshadow the relational aspects of healthcare. The role of narrative medicine in fostering 'narrative ethics competence' allows healthcare providers to maintain a patient-centred approach even in technologically advanced settings (62).

Clinical empathy, a nuanced form of emotional reasoning, can be enhanced through narrative practices, helping practitioners understand patients' experiences within their sociocultural contexts (22). Future studies should explore how AI can be integrated into narrative practices, such as digital storytelling, to enhance rather than diminish the humanism in medical practice. Digital storytelling methodologies can serve as a bridge between AI-driven healthcare and the humanistic dimensions of patient care, providing innovative methods to maintain the narrative aspects of clinical encounters (34). This methodology corresponds to the broader goals of medical humanities, which aim to integrate technological

progress with the ethical and relational aspects of healthcare delivery.

The future of medical humanities must address the growing need for culturally sensitive healthcare education. As global health disparities widen, narrative medicine can serve as a bridge between universal ethical principles and culturally specific practices. Narrative medicine programs can enhance cultural competency by integrating storytelling into medical education, allowing healthcare professionals to better understand the lived experiences of patients from diverse backgrounds (38). Recognition of the “local moral worlds” of patients, which are shaped by their unique cultural and social contexts, serves as a critical step in providing culturally sensitive care (42).

By incorporating diverse cultural narratives into medical education, healthcare professionals can develop a deeper understanding of the sociocultural factors that influence patient care. Narrative medicine programs not only improve cultural awareness but also help uncover implicit biases, fostering a more inclusive and equitable healthcare environment (24). Global bioethics must adopt a “glocal” approach, harmonizing universal ethical

principles with local cultural values to address the challenges of cultural diversity in healthcare (47).

This integration of cultural narratives into medical education aligns with the broader goals of medical humanities, which seek to bridge the gap between the technical and humanistic dimensions of healthcare.

Finally, the critical question is how appropriate it is to use ‘ethics’ and ‘narrative’ as instruments in medical education. The transdisciplinary approach may offer innovative and unprecedented options that are currently unimaginable, especially in the realm of future education. Artificial intelligence, for instance, appears to have this potential. However, it also introduces some newly realized ethical concerns, hardly scratching the surface. In the future, it may become increasingly vital to be aware of the fact that medicine, which is mostly seen today as a thematic issue, is a field of human-to-human endeavour, and the integration of social sciences into medical education will likely become more significant. The tendency to regard the human being, the fundamental subject of medical practice, solely via its biological dimension represents a notable impasse in modern medicine. Consequently, medicine should derive inspiration

from the humanities, encompassing ethics, culture, sociology, and narrative, which could beneficially impact healthcare. This is likely attainable only through a significant transformation in the concept of medical education, necessitating its reform and the enhancement of the humanitarian perspective among medical students.

Conclusion

This review has highlighted the transformative potential of narrative medicine in reshaping medical education and practice by integrating patient narratives into clinical encounters. Narrative medicine challenges the reductionist tendencies of traditional evidence-based approaches, and emphasizes the significance of understanding patients as unique individuals whose life experiences, cultural backgrounds, and personal stories significantly shape their health and well-being. By bridging the gap between empirical methodologies and the humanistic aspects of healthcare, narrative medicine not only promotes a more comprehensive understanding of illness but also enables healthcare providers to navigate the complexities of clinical decision-making with enhanced empathy, ethical sensitivity, and relational awareness.

Ultimately, the implementation of narrative medicine represents a fundamental transformation in medical education and practice, promoting a more human-centred approach to healthcare. As the field develops, it has the potential to bridge the dichotomy between scientific objectivity and humanistic care, ensuring that technological advances do not overshadow the relational and ethical aspects of medicine. By integrating the humanities into medical education, narrative medicine reaffirms the centrality of the human experience in healthcare, offering a path toward more compassionate, equitable, and ethically informed clinical practice. Ultimately, this transition requires a reconfiguration of medical curricula, prioritizing the development of narrative competence as a core component of professional training and ethical involvement.

Integrating narrative methods into medical ethics education offers significant pedagogical benefits, particularly in fostering moral imagination, reflective practice, and ethical discernment. Narrative medicine utilizes reflective writing, close reading, and group discussions, to provide healthcare professionals with the skills needed to engage with diverse perspectives and address the sociocultural aspects of patient care. In addition, the integration of narrative ethics into clinical

practice provides a robust framework for approach not only enhances diagnostic and addressing contemporary challenges, such as therapeutic efficacy but also strengthens the health inequalities, cultural diversity, and the relational and empathetic foundations of healthcare ethical dilemmas presented by technological delivery. progress. By fostering narrative competence, this

References:

1. Charon R. Narrative medicine : honoring the stories of illness. Oxford University Press; 2006. 304 p.
2. Greenhalgh T, Hurwitz B. Narrative based medicine: why study narrative? BMJ [Internet]. 1999 Jan 2 [cited 2025 Jan 4];318(7175):48–50. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9872892>
3. Meadows K. Patient-reported Outcome Measures – A Call for More Narrative Evidence. J Patient Exp. 2021;8:1–4.
4. Murphy JW, Franz BA. Ethics in Narrative Health Interventions. Perm J. 2019;24:1–6.
5. Lewis B. Narrative and psychiatry. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2011;24(6):489–94.
6. Ahlzén R. Narrativity and medicine: Some critical reflections. Philos Ethics, Humanit Med. 2019;14(1):1–10.
7. Daryazadeh S. Application of narrative in medical ethics. J Med Ethics Hist Med. 2019;12(13):1–4.
8. Erbay H. On Medical Ethics, Story and Narrating. Turkish J Bioeth. 2017;4(1):29–35.
9. Garden R. Who speaks for whom? Health humanities and the ethics of representation. Med Humanit. 2015;41(2):77–80.
10. Jones AH. Why Teach Literature and Medicine? Answers from Three Decades. J Med Humanit. 2013;34(4):415–28.
11. Shapiro J, Coulehan J, Wear D, Montello M. Medical humanities and their discontents: Definitions, critiques, and implications. Acad Med. 2009;84(2):192–8.

12. Charon R, DasGupta S, Hermann N, Irvine C, Marcus ER, Rivera Colsn E, et al. The Principles and Practice of Narrative Medicine [Internet]. Vol. 1. Oxford University Press; 2016 [cited 2025 Jan 4]. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/book/38747>
13. Ricoeur P, Thompson JB. Hermeneutics and the Human Sciences [Internet]. Thompson JB, editor. Cambridge University Press; 2016 [cited 2025 Jan 4]. Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9781316534984/type/book>
14. Hunter KM. Doctors' Stories : The Narrative Structure of Medical Knowledge. Princeton University Press; 2021.
15. Frank AW. Narrative Ethics as Dialogical Story-Telling. Hastings Cent Rep [Internet]. 2014 Jan 9;44(s1):16–20. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/hast.263>
16. Mattingly C, Garro LC. Narrative and the cultural construction of illness and healing. University of California Press; 2000. 279 p.
17. Bolton GEJ, Delderfield R. Reflective Practice : Writing and Professional Development [Internet]. SAGE Publications Ltd; 2018 [cited 2025 Jan 4]. 296 p. Available from: https://books.google.com.tr/books/about/Reflective_Practice.html?id=veBHDwAAQBAJ&redir_esc=y
18. Kleinman A. The Illness Narratives: Suffering, Healing, And The Human Condition. 1989.
19. Zaharias G. What is narrative-based medicine? Can Fam Physician. 2018;64:176–80.
20. Brody H. Narrative Ethics and Institutional Impact. HEC Forum. 1999;(111):46–51.
21. Charon R. Knowing, seeing, and telling in medicine. Lancet. 2021;398(10316):2068–70.
22. Tan L, Le MK, Yu CC, Liaw SY, Tierney T, Ho YY, et al. Defining clinical empathy: A grounded theory approach from the perspective of healthcare workers and patients in a multicultural setting. BMJ Open. 2021;11(9):1–9.
23. Stringer A, Liu L, Marino J, Mupparapu A, Fergus A, Rosenberg N, et al. The Power of Story Slams: A Mixed-Method Analysis of Narrative Medicine Connecting, Encouraging and Comforting Healthcare Trainees and Professionals. Int J Med Students [Internet]. 2024 Oct 16;12(3):239–45. Available from: <http://ijms.info/IJMS/article/view/2182>

24. Remein CDF, Childs E, Pasco JC, Trinquart L, Flynn DB, Wingerter SL, et al. Content and outcomes of narrative medicine programmes: A systematic review of the literature through 2019. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10(1):1–15.
25. Machost H, Stains M. Reflective Practices in Education A Primer for Practitioners. *CBE Life Sci Educ*. 2023;22(2):1–11.
26. Charon R, Hermann N. Commentary: A sense of story, or why teach reflective writing? *Acad Med*. 2012;87(1):5–7.
27. Walker MU. Moral understandings: Alternative “epistemology” for a feminist ethics [1989]. *Justice Care Essent Readings Fem Ethics*. 2018;4(2):139–52.
28. Jarrah HY, Bilal DA, Halim M, Helali MM, AlAli RM, Alfandi AAA, et al. The impact of storytelling and narrative variables on skill acquisition in gamified learning. *Int J Data Netw Sci* [Internet]. 2024;8(2):1161–8. Available from: http://www.growingscience.com/ijds/Vol8/ijdns_2023_218.pdf
29. Beauchamp T, Childress J. Principles of Biomedical Ethics : Marking Its Fortieth Anniversary. *Am J Bioeth* [Internet]. 2019 Nov 2;19(11):9–12. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15265161.2019.1665402>
30. Barnes-Holmes Y, Barnes-Holmes D, McEnteggart C. Narrative: Its Importance in Modern Behavior Analysis and Therapy. *Perspect Behav Sci* [Internet]. 2018 Nov 4;41(2):509–16. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40614-018-0152-y>
31. Ellis BD, Stam HJ. Addressing the Other in Dialogue. *Theory Psychol* [Internet]. 2010 Jun 21;20(3):420–35. Available from: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0959354310364280>
32. Kavas MV. Narrativity and its Place in Medical Ethics Education (in Turkish). *Ankara Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Mecmuası*. 2011;64(2):59–73.
33. MacIntyre A. *After Virtue: A Study in Moral Theory*. University of Notre Dame Press; 1981.
34. Lang M, Laing C, Moules N, Estefan A. Words, Camera, Music, Action: A Methodology of Digital Storytelling in a Health Care Setting. *Int J Qual Methods* [Internet]. 2019 Jan 1;18. Available from: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1609406919863241>
35. Spielthener G. The casuistic method of practical ethics. *Theor Med Bioeth* [Internet]. 2016 Oct 17;37(5):417–31. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11017-016-9382-8>

36. Zielina M, Škoda J, Ivanová K, Dostál D, Juričková L, Anthony Procházka D, et al. Exploring moral competence regression: a narrative approach in medical ethics education for medical students. *BMC Med Ethics* [Internet]. 2024 Jun 21;25(1):73. Available from: <https://bmcmethics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12910-024-01073-5>
37. Bilač S, Öztop F, Kutuk Y, Karadag M. Cultural Narratives and Their Impact on Family Mental Health. *J Psychosociological Res Fam Cult* [Internet]. 2024;2(2):18–24. Available from: <https://journals.kmanpub.com/index.php/jprfc/article/view/2362>
38. DasGupta S, Meyer D, Calero-Breckheimer A, Costley AW, Guillen S. Teaching Cultural Competency Through Narrative Medicine: Intersections of Classroom and Community. *Teach Learn Med* [Internet]. 2006 Jan;18(1):14–7. Available from: http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1207/s15328015tlm1801_4
39. Gunaratnam Y. Cultural Vulnerability and Professional Narratives. *J Soc Work End Life Palliat Care* [Internet]. 2011 Oct;7(4):338–49. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/15524256.2011.623464>
40. Evanoff RJ. Universalist, relativist, and constructivist approaches to intercultural ethics. *Int J Intercult Relations* [Internet]. 2004 Sep;28(5):439–58. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0147176704000458>
41. Li S, Miles K, George RE, Ertubey C, Pye P, Liu J. A critical review of cultural competence frameworks and models in medical and health professional education: A meta-ethnographic synthesis: BEME Guide No. 79. *Med Teach* [Internet]. 2023 Oct 3;45(10):1085–107. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/0142159X.2023.2174419>
42. Katz AM, Alegría M. The clinical encounter as local moral world: Shifts of assumptions and transformation in relational context. *Soc Sci Med* [Internet]. 2009 Apr;68(7):1238–46. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0277953609000094>
43. Obeidat A, Komesaroff PA. The struggle for clinical ethics in Jordanian Hospitals. *J Bioeth Inq.* 2019;16(3):309–21.
44. Rukadikar C, Mali S, Bajpai R, Rukadikar A, Singh AK. A review on cultural competency in medical education. *J Fam Med Prim Care* [Internet]. 2022 Aug;11(8):4319–29. Available from:

<http://www.jfmpc.com/article.asp?issn=2249->

[4863;year=2017;volume=6;issue=1;spage=169;epage=170;aulast=Faizi](http://www.jfmpc.com/article.asp?issn=2249-4863;year=2017;volume=6;issue=1;spage=169;epage=170;aulast=Faizi)

45. Woods A. The limits of narrative: provocations for the medical humanities. *Med Humanit* [Internet]. 2011 Dec;37(2):73–8. Available from: <https://mh.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/medhum-2011-010045>
46. Häyry M. A Defense of Ethical Relativism. *Cambridge Q Healthc Ethics* [Internet]. 2005 Jan 18;14(01). Available from: http://www.journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0963180105050024
47. Tosam MJ. Global bioethics and respect for cultural diversity: how do we avoid moral relativism and moral imperialism? *Med Heal Care Philos* [Internet]. 2020;23(4):611–20. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11019-020-09972-1>
48. Garcia LF, Fernandes MS, Moreno JD, Goldim JR. Mapping Bioethics in Latin America: History, Theoretical Models, and Scientific Output. *J Bioeth Inq*. 2019;16(3):323–31.
49. Palla I, Turchetti G, Polvani S. Narrative Medicine: theory, clinical practice and education - a scoping review. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2024;24(1).
50. Xiao S, Yuan J, Lan H, Li Q, Cheng Y, Cao K, et al. Investigation of clinical medicine undergraduates' recognition of narrative medicine. *BMC Med Educ*. 2024;24(1):1–6.
51. Franco JVA, Dwan K, Garegnani LI, Kunneman M, Madrid E, Metzendorf M-I, et al. Advocating for evidence-informed decisions to make healthcare fit for each person. *BMJ Evidence-Based Med* [Internet]. 2022 Apr;27(2):65–6. Available from: <https://ebm.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/bmjebm-2022-111953>
52. Giubilini A, Milnes S, Savulescu J. The Medical Ethics Curriculum in Medical Schools: Present and Future. *J Clin Ethics*. 2016;27(2):129–45.
53. Nasri N, Xu W, Jamaludin KA, Mohamad Nasri N. Socio-culturally responsive medical professionalism and ethics education: A curriculum co-creation approach. *Med Educ Online* [Internet]. 2024;29(1). Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2024.2303209>
54. Wear D, Zarconi J. Can compassion be taught? Let's ask our students. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2008;23(7):948–53.

55. Shamim MS. A contextually relevant ethics education model. *Int J Ethics Educ.* 2020;5(1):97–100.
56. Shamim MS, Torda A, Baig LA, Zubairi N, Balasooriya C. Systematic development and refinement of a contextually relevant strategy for undergraduate medical ethics education: a qualitative study. *BMC Med Educ.* 2021;21(1):1–12.
57. Coulehan J, Williams PC. Vanquishing virtue: The Impact of medical education. *Acad Med.* 2001;76(6):598-605. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/academicmedicine/fulltext/2001/06000/vanquishing_virtue__the_impact_of_m_edical.8.aspx
58. Woods A. The limits of narrative: provocations for the medical humanities. *Med Humanit.* 2011 Dec;37(2):73–8.
59. Frank AW. *Letting Stories Breathe: A Socio-Narratology.* University of Chicago Press: University Presses Marketing [distributor]; 2012.
60. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nat Med [Internet].* 2019;25(1):44–56. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7>
61. Coeckelbergh M. Artificial Intelligence, Responsibility Attribution, and a Relational Justification of Explainability. *Sci Eng Ethics [Internet].* 2020;26(4):2051–68. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-019-00146-8>
62. Charon R. Narrative Medicine: A Model for Empathy, Reflection, Profession, and Trust. *JAMA [Internet].* 2001 Oct 17;286(15):1897–902. Available from: <http://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jama.286.15.1897>